UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,381	08/08/2006	Sandrine Barranco	Q91866	2883
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/26/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			SHEARER, DANIEL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/559,381	BARRANCO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL R. SHEARER	3754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 At</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) \square objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ate		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/6/2005</u> .	6) Other:	LL		

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the valve and dispenser of claims 8-12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,447,448 to Simm et al. (Simm).

Simm discloses a shaped article (see abstract) capable of being used as a valve seal, comprising an elastomer based on ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM), and a mineral filler based upon Sillitin (Col. 9, II. 1-17) which is a natural mixture of quartz and kaolinite (See applicant's disclosure, Pg. 3, II. 36-37).

Regarding claims 2-5, the mineral filler Sillitin includes (as shown on page 4 of applicant's disclosure) a mineralogical composition of 80% quartz and 20% Kaolinite, a chemical composition of about 8% Al₂O₃ and 87% SiO₂, a pH between 7 and 8, and an average particle size of 2.2 microns.

4. Claims 1, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0969069 to Thomas et al. (Thomas).

Thomas shows an aerosol dispenser with a valve seal, comprising an elastomer based on ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM), and a mineral filler based upon kaolin (Col. 2, II. 53-56) which is composed of kaolinite, feldspar and quartz as taught by U.S. Patent No. 4,714,544 to von Rybinski et al. (Col. 1, II. 29-32).

Art Unit: 3754

Regarding claims 8-12, Thomas further shows the aerosol dispenser including a reservoir containing a fluid product (Col. 1, II. 42-50), ethanol (Col. 2, II. 33-35), and a propellant of HFC-134a or HFC-227 gas (Col. 2, II. 20-24). The dispenser includes a regulating valve mounted on the reservoir and including a valve element sliding in a valve body with the interposition of the valve seal (Col. 1, II. 42-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simm in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,306,514 to Weikel et al. (Weikel).

Simm shows all aspects of applicant's invention as set forth in claim 1 but fails to specifically disclose the seal being subjected to a surface chlorination treatment comprising a solution of water, hydrochloric acid and bleach. However, Weikel discloses that a surface chlorination treatment comprising a solution of water,

Art Unit: 3754

hydrochloric acid and bleach is a known process to change the characteristics of rubber (Col. 1, II. 50-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the material of Simm with the treatment as taught by Weikel to achieve the desired characteristics for the material.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, the process as disclosed by the applicant is inherent in the structure of Simm as modified by Weikel (see rejections of claims 1 and 6-7 above).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL R. SHEARER whose telephone number is (571)270-7416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571)272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. R. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754 /Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754